

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards
August 31, 2007

(With Independent Auditors' Reports Thereon)

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and the State of Texas <i>Uniform Grant Management Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal and State Program, on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas <i>Uniform Grant Management Standards</i> , and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	12
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	14



KPMG LLP Suite 700 Two Park Square 6565 Americas Parkway NE PO Box 3990 Albuquerque, NM 87190

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of El Paso, Texas:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of El Paso, Texas (the City) as of and for the year ended August 31, 2007, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 29, 2008. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards, issued by the Governor's Office of Budget and Planning. The financial statements of the City Employees' Pension Fund were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Firemen and Policemen's Pension Fund and El Paso Water Utilities, as described in our report on the City's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors. The financial statements of the Firemen and Policemen's Pension Fund were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards. The financial statements of El Paso Water Utilities were not audited in accordance with the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control over financial reporting. We consider the deficiency 07-1 described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* or under the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the City in a separate letter dated February 29, 2008.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, City Manager, City Council members and management of the City, the federal and state cognizant agencies, other federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



February 29, 2008



KPMG LLP Suite 700 Two Park Square 6565 Americas Parkway NE PO Box 3990 Albuquerque, NM 87190

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal and State Program, on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards*, and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of El Paso, Texas:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of El Paso, Texas (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* and the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards* that are applicable to each of its major federal and state programs for the year ended August 31, 2007. The City's major federal and state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal and state programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

The City's financial statements include operations of the Public Service Board – City of El Paso (El Paso Water Utilities), a discretely presented component unit of the City that received \$17,804,912 in federal awards in its fiscal year ended February 28, 2007, which are not included in the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards for the year ended August 31, 2007. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the El Paso Water Utilities because the component unit engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*; and the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal or state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with those requirements.

As described in item 07-4 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the City did not comply with the requirements regarding subrecipient monitoring that are applicable to its Empowerment Zone Grant major federal program. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the City to comply with the requirements applicable to this program.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal and state programs for the year ended August 31, 2007. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 07-3. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards* and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 07-5.

Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal or state programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal or state program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal or state program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 07-2, 07-3, 07-4, and 07-5 to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We did not consider any of the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended August 31, 2007 and have issued our report thereon dated February 29, 2008, which included references to the reports of other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for

purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The City's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the City's responses and, accordingly, express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, City Manager, City Council members and management of the City, the federal and state cognizant agencies, other federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



February 29, 2008

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

Year ended August 31, 2007

CFDA#	Grant title		Federal/state expenditures	Federal, state or pass-through grant number
	Federal awards:		•	
	U.S. Department of Agriculture:			
	Pass through Texas Department of Health:			
10.557	WIC	\$	3,827,765	2007-020878-001
10.557	WIC		229,009	2007-020878-001
10.557	WIC		1,105,574	2007-020878-001
10.557 10.557	WIC WIC		597,254 33,123	7460007499-06-13 7460007499-06-13
10.557	WIC		185,948	7460007499-06-13
10.557		-		7400007477-00-13
	Total U.S. Department of Agriculture	-	5,978,673	
12.607	U.S. Department of Defense: BRAC Growth Planning		301,595	RA0619-07-01
	Total U.S. Department of Defense	_	301,595	
	U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development:	-		
14.218	Community Development Block Grant		362,455	B-02-MC-48-0015
14.218	Community Development Block Grant		1,142,242	B-04-MC-48-0015
14.218	Community Development Block Grant		5,853,967	B-05-MC-48-0016
14.218	Community Development Block Grant		50,847	B-05-MC-48-0016
14.218	Community Development Block Grant		1,389,045	B-05-MC-48-0016
14.218	Community Development Block Grant		1,352,001	B-05-MC-48-0016
14.218	Community Development Block Grant	_	1,453,414	B-05-MC-48-0016
	Total CFDA #14.218	_	11,603,971	
14.231	Emergency Shelter Grants		1,543	S-05-MC-48-0005
14.231	Emergency Shelter Grants	_	385,665	S-06-MC-48-0005
	Total CFDA #14.231	_	387,208	
14.235	Supportive Housing Program	_	35,958	TX01B902001
	Total CFDA #14.235	_	35,958	
14.239	Home Investment Partnership		160,143	M-03-MC-48-0213
14.239	Home Investment Partnership		718,385	M-04-MC-48-0213
14.239	Home Investment Partnership		655,029	M-05-MC-48-0213
14.239	Home Revolving Loan Fund		1,584,732	M-03-MC-48-0213
14.239	Home Investment Partnership	_	418,909	M-06-MC-48-0213
	Total CFDA #14.239	_	3,537,198	
14.244	Empowerment Zone 1st Neighborhoods Program		958	EZ99TX0013
14.244	Empowerment Zone	-	1,397,066	EZ99TX0013
	Total CFDA #14.244	-	1,398,024	
14.900	Lead Hazard Control	-	53,670	TXLHB0237-03
	Total CFDA #14.900	-	53,670	
	Total U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development	_	17,016,029	
	U.S. Department of Justice:			
1 < 500	Pass through Governor's Office of Criminal Justice:		14.505	TD 05 100 10050 00
16.523	Juvenile Justice Block Grant – SHOCAP	-	14,597	JB-05-J20-13359-08
	Total CFDA #16.523	_	14,597	
16.575	Victims of Crime Act	_	73,474	VA-06-V30-13590-0
	Total CFDA #16.575	_	73,474	
16.576	Victims Assistance Grant	_	35,922	07-00337
	Total CFDA #16.576	_	35,922	
16.582	Victims Assistance Discretionary Grant	_	52,211	06-G01918
	Total CFDA #16.582	_	52,211	
16.588	Violence Against Women Formula Grant	_	53,336	WF-04-V30-13413-0
	Total CFDA #16.588	_	53,336	
	Local Law Enforcement Block Grant		01.020	2004-LB-BX-0865
16.580	Local Law Elliorcement Block Grain	_	91,838	2004-LB-BA-0003

6

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

Year ended August 31, 2007

CFDA #	Grant title		Federal/state expenditures	Federal, state or pass-through grant number
16.607	Bulletproof Vest Program	s	5,056	
	Total CFDA #16.607	-	5,056	
16.710 16.710 16.710 16.710 16.710 16.710	COPS Universal Hiring Award G210716 COPS Step Schools FY06 COPS in Schools COPS in School '04 210515 Human Trafficking Grant G210619 COPS See our Schools	-	444,829 24,995 (1) 129,381 178,782 13,372	95CCWX0207 95CCWX0207 2001SHWX0634 2002CLWX0009 2005-VTBX-0014 2003-CKWX-0444
	Total CFDA #16.710	-	791,358	
16.738	TPA Tech & Program	-	17,364	2006-DJ-BX-0121
	Total CFDA #16.738	-	17,364	
	Total U.S. Department of Justice	-	1,135,156	
	U.S. Department of Transportation:	-	1,130,100	
20.106	Airport Improvement Grant	_	10,344,392	AIP3-48-0077-2002
	Total CFDA #20.106		10,344,392	
20.507	Federal Transit Program	-	15,267,942	Various
	Total CFDA #20.507	-	15,267,942	
20.600 20.600	Pass through State Department of Highways and Public Transportation: TXDOT Click It or Ticket TXDOT Underage Drinking Initiative	-	10,708 33,274	587XXF6174 587XXF6001
20.600	TXDOT Click It or Ticket		7,477	587XXF6126
20.600 20.600	TXDOT Comprehensive Step TXDOT Click It or Ticket		84,721 6,929	586XXF6027 586XXF6152
20.600	TXDOT Safe Communities		11,970	586XXF6056
20.600 20.600	TXDOT Safe Communities FY '07 TXDOT Communities Step FY '07		73,731 431,429	587XXF6107 587XXF6048
20.600	TXDOT Impaired FY '07	_	11,240	586XXF9199
	Total CFDA #20.600	_	671,479	
20.205	TIP Planning Funds		194,647	
20.205 20.205	FHWA/TSDOT/MPO FY00 BIP Border Improvement Program FY02		729 83,739	
20.205	BIP New Mexico		13,870	
20.205 20.205	BIP Local Private BIP Airport		9,712 16,664	
20.205	BIP City		2,761	
20.205	FHWA / NMSHTD / MPO FY'05		815	
20.205 20.205	FHWA/TXDOT/MPO FY05 NM SPECIAL STUDIES		67,439 5,346	
20.205	FHWA BORDER WIZARD '05		13,331	
20.205	FHWA/NMSH/MPO FY06		3,174	
20.205 20.205	FHWA/TXDOT/MPO FY06 G780701 FHWA/NMDOT/MPO FY07		122,669 57,797	
20.205	G780703 FHWA/TXDOT/MPO FY07		1,117,225	
	Total CFDA #20.205	-	1,709,918	
	Total U.S. Department of Transportation	-	27,993,731	
66.001	Environmental Protection Agency: Pass through Texas Commission on Environmental Quality: TCEQ Air Polution	-	5,975	A-006154-06-0
66.001	TCEQ Pass Thru		61,312	582-6-72616
66.001	EPA Air Polution		50,824	A-006154-04-0
66.001	TCEQ Whole Air Polution		258,005	A-006154-05-0 585-5-55865
66.001 66.001	TCEQ PM Sampling TCEQ Whole Air Polution		44,107 7,815	585-5-55897
66.001	EPA Air Quality		304,025	582-6-74931
66.001	TCEQ Pass Thru		7,837	582-6-72616
66.001	EPA Air Quality		85,263	582-6-74391
66.001	TCEQ Whole Air Polution		28	582-6-72670
66.001	TCEQ PM Sampling		1,990	582-5-55865 582-5-5586
66.001 66.001	EPA Air Quality EPA Juarez Monitoring		1,218 4,077	582-5-55586
	Č		,	

7

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

Year ended August 31, 2007

CFDA#	Grant title		Federal/state expenditures	Federal, state or pass-through grant number
66.001 66.001	EPA Juarez Monitoring Border Air Monitoring	\$	(28) 28,156	582-5-55886
	Total CFDA #66.001		860,604	
66.801 66.801	TDH Asbestos TDH Asbestos		7,147 (2,914)	746007499C-2006-01 746007499-2006-10
	Total CFDA #66.801	•	4,233	
	Total Environmental Protection Agency	•	864,837	
94.011 94.011	Corporation for National and Community Service: Foster Grandparent Program Foster Grandparent Program	•	491,078 41,022	04SFWTX008 04SFWTX008
	Total CFDA #94.011	•	532,100	
94.002 94.002	Retired Seniors Volunteer Program Retired Seniors Volunteer Program	•	136,751	05RWTX007 04SRWTX016
94.002	Retired Seniors Volunteer Program		(4,656)	05SRWTX007
	Total CFDA #94.002		132,095	
	Total Corporation for National and Community Service		664,195	
97.044 97.044	Department of Homeland Security: Pass through Texas Department of Public Safety: FIRE SPECIAL OPS -EPA FIRE SAFETY FOR SENIORS		(218) 2,174	3216 EM TX
	Total CFDA #97.044		1,956	
97.071 97.071 97.071 97.071	MMRS Sustainment MMRS'03 CONTRACT MMRS CAPABILTY ASSESSMN MMRS-Medical Response System		35,047 75,105 70,571 1,660,226	EMW-2004-FP-02039 EMW-2003-FP-02038 EMW-2004-GR-0808 2005-GE-T5-4025
	Total CFDA #97.071		1,840,949	
97.004	FIREFIGHTER GRNT PROG	•	(49,076)	EMW-2004-FG-07278
	Total CFDA #97.004	•	(49,076)	
97.005	State Homeland Security grant	•	91,684	2006-GE-T6-0068
	Total CFDA #97.005	•	91,684	
97.042 97.042	Emergency Management FY2006 Emergency Management FY2007	•	45,251 225,146	EMT-2006-GR-0107
	Total CFDA #97.042	•	270,397	
97.072	Canine Grant	•	251,000	
	Total CFDA #97.072		251,000	
97.073	TEEK 2004 SHSP	•	(1,536)	2004-SHSP-24000
	Total CFDA #97.073	•	(1,536)	
97.078	Bufferzone grant	•	49,739	2005-BZPP-24000
	Total CFDA #97.078		49,739	
97.036 97.036	FEMA-HURRICANE KATRINA Storm 2006 Disaster – Presidential Declaration	•	376 100,067	3216 EM TX DR-1658-TX
	Total CFDA #97.036	•	100,443	
	Total Department of Homeland Security	•	2,555,556	
45.310 45.310 45.310 45.310	U.S. Department of Education: Pass through Texas State Library: Library Services TTPLS Library Services TTPLS Technical Assistance Negotiated Grant Technical Assistance Negotiated Grant		7,075 373,452 740 61,813	470-06-005 470-07-005 476-05-015 476-05-015
	Total CFDA #45.310		443,080	

8

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

Year ended August 31, 2007

CFDA#	Grant title	Federal/state expenditures	Federal, state or pass-through grant number
45.024 45.024 45.024	TCA Exhibits FY07 TCA Core Support FY07 TCA Subgrant Award FY07	\$ 4,533 2,630 17,534	07-28110 07-28111
	Total CFDA #45.02	24,697	
45.025 45.025	NEA – The Big Read NEA – Arts in Education	16,351 11,060	
	Total CFDA #45.025	27,411	
	Total U.S. Department of Education	495,188	
93.243	U.S. Department of Health & Human Services: HHSC – Substance Abuse Grant	1	1H79SM54759-01
	Total CFDA #93.243	1	
93.116 93.116 93.116	Center for Disease Control: CDC TB Outreach CDC TB Outreach CDC TB Outreach	114,292 23,384 115,539	2007-021980, Attach 001 7460007499-02-05 7460007499-2007-01
	Total CFDA #93.116	253,215	
93.944 93.944 93.944 93.944	Sexually Transmitted Disease STD/HIV HIV Surveillance HIV Surveillance	46,772 12,680 2,742 13,351	7460007499-2007-02 7460007499-2005-01 7460007499-2006-04 7460007499-2007-03
	Total CFDA #93.944	75,545	
93.994 93.994	Title V FEES – FY05 TDH Vector Initiative	10,849 (3,918)	746007499A-2006-01 746007499A-2006-03
	Total CFDA #93.994	6,931	
93.197	Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	24,999	7460007499E-2007
	Total CFDA #93.197	24,999	
	Health Care and Other Facilities:		
93.887 93.887 93.887 93.887 93.887	OPHP/Bioterrorism OPHP/Bioterrorism Lab Bio Terrorism Preparedness 211 AREA INFO CNTR '06 211 AREA INFO CNTR '07	78,029 874,204 379,290 85,807 70,405	7460007499-2006-07 7460007499-2006-08 HHSC 529-06-004-00018B
	Total CFDA #93.887	1,487,735	
93.268	Pass through Texas Department of Health: CDC Nonmonetary Assistance – Immunizations	3,365,282	
	Total CFDA #93.268	3,365,282	
	Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	5,213,708	
7.000 7.000	Executive Office of the President: HIDTA – STING HIDTA – STING HIDTA – STING-RDI HIDTA – STING-RDI HIDTA – ENTERPRISE 2006 HIDTA – ENTERPRISE 2006 HIDTA – Intelligence Initiative FY2004 HIDTA – Intelligence Initiative FY2005 HIDTA – Intelligence Initiative FY2006 HIDTA – Multiagency FY2005 HIDTA – Multiagency FY2006 HIDTA – Stash House Initiative FY2005 – DHI HIDTA – Stash House Initiative FY2005 – DHI HIDTA – Stash House Initiative FY2006 HIDTA – Transportation 2005 HIDTA – Transportation 2006 HIDTA – Transportation 2006 HIDTA – FUGITIVE FY'06 G210732 HIDTA STING-DHI FY06 G210723 OCDETF 07 0413 G210723 OCDETF 07 0404H G210725 HIDTA STING 2007	9,440 5,517 757,056 6,546 393 468 2,390 111,439 21,306 164,486 784 8 221,655 1,255 17,852 7,819 38,835 10,680 5,829 2,000 464,534	I5PSWP574 I5PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I4PSWP574 I4PSWP574 I5PSWP574 I5PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I5PSWP574 I5PSWP574 I5PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I6PSWP574 I7PSWP574 I7PSWP574 I7PSWP574 I7PSWP574 I7PSWP574

9

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

Year ended August 31, 2007

CFDA#	Grant title		Federal/state expenditures	Federal, state or pass-through grant number
7.000 7.000 7.000 7.000 7.000 7.000	G210726 INTEL 2007 G210727 STASH HOUSE 2007 G210728 MULTI 2007 G210729 HIDTA ENTERPRISE FY07 G210730 HIDTA TRANS FY07 G210731 FUGITIVE 2007	\$	37,861 216,480 16,080 6,998 3,358 5,470	17PSWP574 17PSWP574 17PSWP574 17PSWP574 17PSWP574 17PSWP574
	Total Executive Office of the President	-	2,136,539	
	Total federal awards	\$	64,355,207	
	State awards: Texas State Library & Archive Commission: E-Rate Library Funds Begin at Birth Grant Loan Star Libraries Grant Total Texas State Library & Archive Commission	\$	15,413 18,129 42,532 76,074	442-07153
	Texas Department of Aging: Foster Grandparent Program Retired Seniors Volunteer Program	-	3,211 28,062	05SFWTX008 05SRWTX024
	Total Texas Department of Aging	-	31,273	
	Department of Health & Human Services: TDH Tuberculosis MEDICAL INSTITUE HIV Surveillance HEALTH FACILITIES 2002 TDH Office Regional Planning Immunizations FY06 DSH Immunizations FY07 DSH CHS – Fee for Service FY07 CHS – Family Planning FY07 Title V FEES _ Carryover Title V FEES _ Carryover CHS Population Based FY07 CHS Population Based FY08 RLSS-LPHS FY07 Bio Terrorism Lab Public Health Preparedness Public Health Preparedness Tobacco Compliance FY07 Total Department of Health & Human Services Texas Commission on Environmental Quality: Pass Through Rio Grande Council of Governments: Litter and Illegal clean-up & Community Collection Events	-	305,917 8,876 22,187 2,535 6,861 77,252 1,290,957 170,767 7,638 146,565 10,866 249,753 25 183,832 (4,173) (92,424) 92,424 17,671 2,497,529	7460007499-20056-07A 7460007499B-2007 C76HF01346-01-01 7460007499-2004-13A 7460007499-2006-05 2007-021270, Attach 003B 2007-020437-001 2007-020437-002 2006-020403-001 2006-020403-001 7460007499B-2007, Attach 1 7460007499-2004-10 2007-020437-002
	DMD Surveillance KEPB Took Sheds Total Pass through Rio Grande Council of Governments	-	3,000 34,477 45,830	
	Governor's Office of Criminal Justice: ATPA Border Partners	-	865,787	SA-T01-10050-07
	Total ATPA	-	865,787	511 101 10050 07
	Operation Wrangler Operation Wrangler	-	132,691 41,381	
	Total Operation Wrangler	-	174,072	
	Pass Through City of Dallas: Internet Crimes Against Children	_	446	
	Total Pass Through City of Dallas	-	446	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Year ended August 31, 2007

CFDA#	Grant title		Federal/state expenditures	Federal, state or pass-through grant number
	Pass Through Texas Forest Service: Texas Forest Service Arborist	\$_	4,164	02-11-07
	Total Texas Forest Service Arborist		4,164	
	Texas Historical Commission		827	48-04-ML-0088
	Total Texas Historical Commission		827	
	Total state awards		3,696,002	
	Total federal and state awards	\$_	68,051,209	

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Year ended August 31, 2007

(1) General

Overview of the City of El Paso, Texas' Fiscal 2007 Annual Audit

The City of El Paso, Texas (the City) is the recipient of various federal and state awards. The grant programs are administered by various departments within the City. The activities of these organizations are monitored by City staff to ensure compliance with the requirements of the underlying grants.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards presents activity of all federal and state financial assistance programs of the City for the year ended August 31, 2007, except for the financial assistance received by the Public Service Utilities Board – City of El Paso. The accompanying schedule of federal and state awards does not include expenditures of the Public Service Board – City of El Paso. The City's reporting entity is defined in note 1(A) to the City's basic financial statements. Federal and state awards received directly from federal and state agencies, as well as federal and state financial assistance passed through other entities, are included on the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards.

(2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented using the cash basis of accounting except for the FAA Airport Improvement grant and the FTA Capital and Planning grants, which use the full accrual basis of accounting.

(3) Revolving Loan Programs

The City administers federal loan programs. These programs are initiated with a grant of federal funds and are sustained after the original money is disbursed in loans by using principal payments for subsequent loans to qualified borrowers. The interest received is considered to be program income and is used to pay administrative costs associated with the programs as well as for subsequent loans. As of August 31, 2007, outstanding principal balances of these loans were as follows:

Federal grantor/program title	Federal CFDA No.	 Loans receivable principal
Department of Housing and Urban Development: Community Development Block Grant Home Investment Partnerships Empowerment Zone	14.218 14.239 14.244	\$ 18,129,306 25,861,203 3,204,605
Total revolving loans		\$ 47,195,114

(4) Commodities

The Texas Department of Health provided vaccines to the El Paso City – County Health Department. All vaccines are funded by the Center for Disease Control in the amount of \$3,365,282 as shown in the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards, CFDA No. 93.268.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Year ended August 31, 2007

(5) Federal Loan

During fiscal year ended August 31, 1992, the City received a \$3,000,000 federal loan for construction work on the International Zaragosa Bridge. The balance of the loan at August 31, 2007 is \$1,450,000.

There are no significant continuing compliance requirements.

(6) Adjustments to Revenue and Expenditures

The accompanying schedule reflects certain adjustments resulting from final adjustments to closed grants and transfers between grants. As a result, certain grants show negative expenditures.

(7) Relationship to Financial Statements

Federal and state financial assistance programs are reported in the City's financial statements primarily in the Community Development Block Grants and Non-Major Governmental Funds. Certain programs are included in the enterprise funds, of which certain grants are reported as capital contributions in the Income (Loss) Before Capital Contributions and Transfers section of the Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets (Deficit) Proprietary Funds.

(8) Relationship to Federal and State Financial Reports

Amounts reported in the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards may not agree with the amounts reported in the related federal and state financial reports filed with grantor agencies because of accruals that would be included in the subsequent reports filed with the agencies.

(9) Funds Passed Through to Subrecipients

(a) Federal Funds

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, the City passed through \$1,402,848 of Community Development Block Grant funds (CFDA No. 14.218), \$1,240,140 of Empowerment Zone funds (CFDA No. 14.244), \$35,958 of Supportive Housing funds (CFDA No. 14.235), and \$387,208 of Emergency Shelter Grant funds (CFDA No. 14.231) for subrecipient expenditures.

(b) State of Texas Funds

No State of Texas funds were passed through to subrecipients in 2007.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended August 31, 2007

Section I – Summary of Auditors' Results

Fina	ancial Statements		
Тур	e of auditors' report issued:	Unqualified	
Inter	rnal control over financial reporting:		
•	Material weakness(es) identified?	yes	X no
•	Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	X yes	none reported
Non	compliance material to financial statements noted	yes	X no
Fede	eral Awards		
Inter	rnal control over major programs:		
•	Material weakness(es) identified?	yes	X no
•	Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	X yes	none reported
	e of auditors' report issued on compliance for major grams:		for all major programs mpowerment Zone which
	audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported ecordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133:	X yes	no
State	e Awards		
Inter	rnal control over major programs:		
•	Material weakness(es) identified?	yes	X no
•	Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	X yes	none reported
	e of auditors' report issued on compliance for major grams:	Unqualified	
	audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported coordance with State of Texas UGM Standards:	X yes	no

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended August 31, 2007

Identification of major programs:

CFDA number(s) Name of federal program or cluster				
14.218 14.244 20.106 20.507	Community Development Block Grants Empowerment Zone Airport Improvement Grant Federal Transit Formula Grants			
	Name of state p	rogram or cluster		
	TDH Tuberculosis ATPA Border Partners			
Dollar threshold us between type A	ed to distinguish and type B programs:	Federal State	\$1,930,656 \$ 300,000	
Auditee qualified a	s low-risk auditee for federal programs?	yes	<u>X</u> no	
Auditee qualified a	s low-risk auditee for state programs?	<u>X</u> yes	no	

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended August 31, 2007

Section II – Findings Relating to the Financial Statements Reported in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards and State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards

Finding 07-1: Segregation of Duties over Journal Entries

In our testing of manual journal entries, we noted that certain members of management in the accounting department are able to both create and post journal entries. The ability to both create and post a journal entry eliminates certain segregation of duties that is ordinarily anticipated in a well-designed control environment and creates the potential for an individual to manipulate financial results and reporting without reasonable assurance of detection by employees performing their assigned functions. We recommend that management implement additional controls that will mitigate the risk that an individual can post a journal entry without it being subject to review by another member of the staff. Anticipated controls would include review by a higher level member of management which is enforced by the system or an effective manual means. This will reduce the risk, whether real or perceived, that an individual could willingly or inadvertently post an improper entry that will affect financial results of the City.

Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan

The City of El Paso concurs and will develop procedures to ensure that all journal entries are reviewed at the appropriate supervisory level.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended August 31, 2007

Section III - Findings and Questioned Costs

Federal Awards

Finding 07-2: Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

Program/Grant: Airport Improvement Programs/U.S. Department of Transportation

CFDA No.: 20.106 **Award Years:** 2002

Award Numbers: AIP3-48-0077-2002

Questioned Cost: None

Program/Grant: Community Development Block Grants/U.S. Department of Housing and Urban

Development

CFDA No.: 14.218

Award Years: Multiple award years

Award Numbers: B-02-MC-48-0015, B-04-MC-48-0015 and B-05-MC-48-0016

Questioned Cost: None

Program/Grant: Federal Transit Administration Grant/ U.S. Department of Transportation

CFDA No.: 20.507

Award Years: Multiple award years

Award Numbers: Various **Questioned Cost:** None

Type of Finding: Significant Deficiency

Criteria

Per 2 CFR part 180, nonfederal entities are prohibited from contracting with or making subawards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred. "Covered transactions" include those procurement contracts for goods and services awarded under a nonprocurement transaction that is expected to equal or exceed \$25,000. When a nonfederal entity enters into a covered transaction with an entity at a tower tier, the nonfederal entity must verify that the entity is not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded. This verification may be accomplished by checking the *Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)* maintained by the General Services Administration (GSA), collecting a certification from the entity, or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that entity.

Condition/Context

The City of EI Paso does not require suspension and debarment certifications from vendors entering into A&E (architectural, engineering, and surveying) contracts. This is not in compliance with the federal regulations. During suspension and debarment testwork, the following instances were noted in which the City neither had documentation that the vendor was not included on the list of *Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement* nor obtained certifications:

• For the *Airport Improvement Program* a sample of 8 procurement transactions (100% of population) was selected for testwork. Of the eight samples selected, five exceptions were noted all of which related to A&E contracts.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended August 31, 2007

- For the *Community Development Block Grants*, a sample of 16 procurement transactions (100% of the population) was selected for testwork. Of the 16 samples selected, 6 exceptions were noted all of which related to A&E contracts
- For the *Federal Transit Administration Grant*, a sample of 11 procurement transactions (100% of population) was selected for testwork. Of the 11 samples selected, 4 exceptions were noted, all of which related to A&E contracts.

Upon review of the EPLS, none of the vendors were listed as being suspended or debarred.

Cause and Effect

The City does not have a control in place to ensure compliance with applicable compliance requirements related to Suspension find Debarment. This could result in the City procuring services with an ineligible vendor.

Recommendation

The City's purchasing department should ensure that suspension and debarment checks/certifications are performed prior to awarding all contracts, including A&E contracts.

Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan

The City of El Paso will develop a procedure for ensuring that suspension and debarment certifications are performed on all A&E contracts prior to the award of those contracts. The Purchasing Division of the Financial Services Department will certify that all A&E vendors are checked for suspension and debarment prior to the issuance of the purchase order. This will be documented by a screen print from the http://www.epls.gov/epls/search Web site that will remain in the contract file.

Implementation Date: Immediately

Responsible Person: Terry Frieburg, Purchasing Director

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended August 31, 2007

Finding 07-3: Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Program/Grant: Community Development Block Grants/U.S. Department of Housing and Urban

Development

CFDA No.: 14.218

Award Years: Multiple award years

Award Numbers: B-02-MC-48-0015, B-04-MC-48-0015 and B-05-MC-48-0016

Questioned Cost: Undeterminable

Type of Finding: Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance

Criteria

In accordance with OMB Circular A-87, where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, a distribution of their salaries or wages will be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation which meets the standards in subSection (5) unless a statistical sampling system (see subSection (6)) or other substitute system has been approved by the cognizant federal agency.

Condition/Context

Out of four payroll expenditures selected, we noted one employee that worked on multiple cost objectives whose salary was solely charged to the Community Development Block Grant.

Cause and Effect

The City did not comply with documentation requirements when charging payroll to the Community Development Block Grant. It appears that the Community Development Block Grant was overcharged while other housing grants were undercharged. The exact amount overcharged is unable to be determined since effort reports were not maintained. The employee in question is the City's Community Development Department Director. Per City personnel, only the Director and certain administrative personnel work on multiple awards and cost objectives.

Recommendation

The City should implement procedures to ensure that time and effort reporting is in compliance with federal regulations.

Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan

The City of El Paso concurs with this finding and the Director of Community Development will immediately start keeping time sheets for the different programs that he manages. Manual allocations to the various programs will be made on an "after the fact" distribution of payroll based on the time sheets provided.

Implementation Date: June 1, 2008

Responsible Person: William Bill Lilly, Community Development Director

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended August 31, 2007

Finding 07-4: Subrecipient Monitoring

Program/Grant: Empowerment Zone/U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

CFDA No.: 14.244

Award Years: 1999

Award Numbers: EZ99TX0013

Questioned Cost: Undeterminable

Type of Finding: Significant Deficiency and Material Noncompliance

Criteria

Per the OMB Circular A-133 (§ ___.400(d)), the program is responsible for assuring before disbursing any Empowerment Zone funds to a subrecipient, the recipient shall sign a written agreement with the subrecipient, which shall include provisions concerning the statement of work, records, and reports, program income, uniform administrative requirements, and reversions of assets. In addition, the program is responsible for monitoring subrecipients for compliance with agreements and other OMB requirements.

Condition/Context

The City utilized only one subrecipient in the delivery of services related to this grant. During the City's subrecipient monitoring activities in Fall 2006, the City identified concerns regarding the subrecipient's utilization of federal grant funds. The concerns involve allegations regarding business and accounting practices, lack of sufficient controls and possible illegal acts and abuse regarding the payment of certain expenditures. In December 2006, the subrecipient's independent external auditor completed an audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and identified two findings and questioned costs of \$611,520.

Subsequent to the identification of the issues noted above, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) conducted their own investigation into the Empowerment Zone grant with a particular focus on the subrecipient's activities. Based on HUD's review, HUD has issued notices of preliminary findings regarding the City's management of the program which included the \$611,520 of questioned costs noted above plus an additional \$508,025 (total of \$1,119,545). Per an April 10, 2008 letter from HUD, the City cleared \$320,006 of the questioned cost. The remaining \$799,539 of questioned costs is being discussed.

Cause and Effect

The subrecipient under this grant expended funds in manner that may not be in compliance with the terms of the grant agreement and, based on this, HUD has raised questions regarding the City's subrecipient monitoring practices. As a result of the concerns noted, HUD has requested the City to reimburse HUD for amounts it believes the subrecipient improperly expended. Potential questioned costs range from \$0 up to \$799,539.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended August 31, 2007

Recommendation

We recommend that the City complete its investigation into the potentially unallowable expenditures. We also recommend that the City evaluate their subrecipient monitoring procedures for opportunities to improve this process in the future.

Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan

The City of El Paso is working diligently with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to resolve all pending issues and restore the program.

Implementation Date: Ongoing

Responsible Person: William Bill Lilly, Community Development Director

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended August 31, 2007

State of Texas Awards

Finding 07-5: Matching, Earmarking and Level of Effort

Program/Grant: TDH – Tuberculosis

State Agency Texas Department of Health

Award Years: 2007

Award Numbers: 7460007499-20056-07A

Questioned Cost: \$20,043

Type of Finding: Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance

Criteria

The City's grant agreement with the Texas Department of Health requires the City to match the State funds.

Condition/Context

Per review of the City's grant agreement, the City is required to provide \$157,429 of funds to match the State provided grant funds. The City only provided \$137,386 of matching funds.

Cause and Effect

The City experienced significant turnover during the fiscal year and did not adequately monitor its matching requirements under the terms of the grant document. The questioned cost is equal the deficit matching amount.

Recommendation

We recommend that the City evaluate the circumstances that resulted in the deficient match amount and implement appropriate controls to prevent a reoccurrence.

Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan

The City of El Paso concurs with this finding and will ensure that all grant match is posted in an accurate and timely manner. Grant match will be posted as funds are requested from funding agency.

Implementation Date: Immediately

Responsible Person: Carlos Campos, Administrative Services Manager, City Health Department