RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, in 2006 the City Council approved the Capital Budget, which included funding for the initial Neighborhood Improvement Program ("NIP"); and

WHEREAS, the NIP was categorized as a Priority Program, in which the requested projects from recognized neighborhood associations and civic associations must be for permanent public improvement that benefit neighborhood residents and the general public at large; and

WHEREAS, in 2012, the citizens of El Paso, Texas approved the 2012 Quality of Life Bonds, which included funding for the NIP; and

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2014, the Community and Human Development Department presented its proposed strategies, policies, and scorecard revisions ("Proposed Policies") to the NIP, and City Council approved all but one of the Proposed Policies and recommended two additional policies for inclusion; and

WHEREAS, on July 24, 2014 City Council approved the Neighborhood Improvement Program Policies and scorecard; and

WHEREAS, City Staff has made changes to the approved Neighborhood Improvement Program Policies and scoreboard to better assist Recognized Neighborhood and Civic Associations on their requests for permanent physical projects to enhance the quality of life in their neighborhoods.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO:

That City Council hereby approves the changes made to the Neighborhood Improvement Program Policies and scorecard, said updated policies and scorecard specifically set forth and incorporated in Exhibit "A" and "B", attached hereto respectively.

APPROVED this st of August, 2023.

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:

Nicole Ferrini Community and Human Development, Director

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Evy A. Sotelo Assistant City Attorney

THE CITY OF EL PASO:

Oscar Lesser Mayor

ATTEST:

Raura D. Anne

Laura D. Prine City Clerk Exhibit "A"



Community + Human Development

DESCRIPTION: NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM POLICY

SECTION I. PROGRAM PURPOSE

The City of El Paso's Neighborhood Improvement Program (NIP) provides the opportunity for Recognized Neighborhood and Civic Associations to request small-scale, permanent physical improvement projects to enhance the quality of life in their neighborhoods.

SECTION II. PROGRAM POLICY

The City of El Paso shall conduct the Neighborhood Improvement Program under the following directives:

- 1. As funds for the Neighborhood Improvement Program become available, funds shall be allocated equally between Districts and any unused funds will roll over within the District. Funds may be exhausted in one round, or multiple rounds depending on the total allocated amount.
- Only Neighborhood and Civic Associations recognized and registered with the City of El Paso or in the process of registration under Ordinance 017744, may apply. Neighborhood Associations in the process of registering must complete registration and be an approved association prior to the recommendation of projects to City Council.
- 3. Eligible projects shall be permanent physical improvements located on City-owned land that improve or enhance public spaces within, or in close proximity to, Association boundaries. Projects should have a ten (10) year expected life span.
- 4. Eligible projects must have documented evidence of support from the residents in the neighborhood. Documented support needs to be demonstrated by households immediately adjacent to the proposed project location.
- 5. Neighborhood Improvement Program funds may supplement existing projects but are not intended to supplant allocated funding from other sources.
- 6. Project requests that have additional maintenance costs may be considered ineligible
- 7. The project selection process includes a recommendation from staff to the City Council for approval, and will be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition between eligible applicants. Staff will make every attempt to update NIP applicants on the status of their application.
- 8. The scoring criteria for evaluating projects and applications will be reviewed and approved by City Council using an approved score card evaluation (Exhibit B).
- 9. If any funds remain in a District's allocation when staff recommendations have been finalized, Council Representatives & Staff may add to any vetted, proposed project within their districts, components that are consistent with the goals of the original application, as submitted by an Association, and are feasible within the funds available. New project proposals will not be accepted.
- 10. City staff will provide Council with a detailed accounting of all NIP expenditures, including those charges for design, project and construction management, contract compliance and procurement upon request or at the conclusion of an awarded round.
- 11. Projects cannot be split between districts, therefore, if a proposed project is located in more than one





Community + Human Development

district, and the boundary of the Neighborhood Association also includes those districts, the Association must indicate in their application, the district in which they intend to compete for funding.

12. When funds are available and project rounds are announced, a NIP Project Catalog will be made available for Neighborhood Associations. Project requests outside of the catalog require additional vetting and may not be considered eligible.



Exhibit "B"

Neig	hborhood Improveme	nt Program (NIP)		ΤΟΤΑ
Project Scorecard			100.0	
L) Project Goal (0-5pts)				5.0
0 to 2-pts, How well does the appli	cant provide a clear & concise goa	al for their proposed project?		2.0
0 to 3-pts, How well did the applicant develop their overall project proposal?			3.0	
2) Project Background (0-10pt	ts)			10.0
0 to 5-pts, How well does the appli	cant describe a significant commu	inity need/problem?		5.0
0 to 5-pts, How well does the appli	cant make a case for how the pro	posed project will address the sta	ated need/problem?	5.0
3) Project Description (0-15pt	s)			15.0
0 to 10-pts, How well does the app	licant clearly describe what they a	are requesting (ex. styles, types, o	colors, quantities)	10.0
0 to 5-pts, Was the project catalog used?			5.0	
4) Project Map (0-5pts)				5.0
0 to 5-pts, How well does the appli	cant clearly label the desired loca	tion(s) of the proposed project a	nd proposed project items	?
5) Project Picture/Illustration	(0-5pts)			5.0
0 to 2-pt, How well does the applic	ant provide a clear picture of wha	t the current site looks like?		2.0
0 to 3-pts, How well does the appli	cant provide a clear illustration of	what they envision the project t	o look like?	3.0
5) Neighborhood Support (3-2	20pts)			20.
20-pts, 100 or more household signatures	16-pts, 80 household signatures	11-pts, 55 household signatures	6-pts, 30 household signate	ires
or 50% households within boundary	15-pts, 75 household signatures	10-pts, 50 household signatures	5-pts, 25 household signate	ires
19-pts, 95 household signatures	14-pts, 70 household signatures	9-pts, 45 household signatures	4-pts, 20 household signate	ures
18-pts, 90 household signatures	13-pts, 65 household signatures	8-pts, 40 household signatures	3-pts, 15 household signate	ires
17-pts, 85 household signatures	12-pts, 60 household signatures	7-pts, 35 household signatures	(Minimum Requirement)	
7) Project Budget - (For Projec	t Design Team) (0-5pts)			5.0
5.0-pts , if project cost is under \$20,000 2.0-pts , if project cost is \$120,000 to \$139,999		000 to \$139,999		
4.5-pts, if project cost is \$20,000 to \$39,999		1.5-pts, if project cost is \$140,000 to \$159,999		
4.0-pts, if project cost is \$40,000 to \$59,999		1.0-pts, if project cost is \$160,000 to \$179,999		
3.5-pts, if project cost is \$60,000 to \$79,999		0.5-pts, if project cost is \$180,000 to \$199,999		
3.0-pts, if project cost is \$80,000 to \$99,999 0-pts, if project cost is \$200,000 or over		00 or over		
2.5-pts, if project cost is \$100,000	to \$119,999			
	8) City Strategic Alignment - (For City User Department ONLY) (0-25pts)			
8) City Strategic Alignment - (I	For City User Department (ONLY) (0-25pts)		25.

0 to 3-pts, Does the proposed project help promote a healthy lifestyle?

0 to 3-pts, Are their other opportunities to fund the proposed project within this program cycle?

3.0

3.0

9) Previous Round Funding - (For Neighborhood Servio	ces ONLY) (0-10pts)	10.0			
Was the applicant awarded funding in the previous round?					
10-pts, if applicant was NOT awarded	4.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$100,000	to \$109,999			
9.5-pts, if project awarded funds were below \$10,000	4.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$110,000	to \$119,999			
9.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$10,000 to \$19,999	3.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$120,000	to \$129,999			
8.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$20,000 to \$29,999	3.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$130,000	to \$139,999			
8.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$30,000 to \$39,999	2.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$140,000	to \$149,999			
7.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$40,000 to 49,999	2.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$150,000	to \$159,999			
7.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$50,000 to \$59,999	1.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$160,000	to \$169,999			
6.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$60,000 to \$69,999	1.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$170,000	to \$179,999			
6.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$70,000 to \$79,999	0.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$180,000	to \$189,999			
\$.5-pts, if project awarded funds were \$80,000 to \$89,999	0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$190,000 o	r over			
5.0-pts, if project awarded funds were \$90,000 to \$99,999					
10)Bonus Re-Submitted Feasible Application - (For Neighborhood Services ONLY) (2pts) 2.0					

Bonus 2-pts, Did the applicant submit a substantially similar, feasible application in previous round of NIP, but was not awarded due to

limited available funds?

Project recommendations are based on funding the highest scoring application followed by the next highest scoring application(s) that can be substantially completed within the remaining available funds.

9) Previous Round Funding - (For Neighborhood Services ONLY) (0-10pts)