U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Additional Location Information:

Direct Comments to:

N/A

Project Name: HACEP-Cielo-Tower-201-Cortez-Dr-79905
HEROS Number: 900000010208241
Responsible Entity (RE): EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901
RE Preparer: JoAnn Vera
State / Local Identifier:
Certifying Officer: Elda Rodriguez Hefner
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent Housing Authority of the City of El Paso ity):
Point of Contact: Tom Deloye
Consultant (if applicable):
Point of Contact:
Project Location: 201 Cortez Dr, El Paso, TX 79905

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Housing Authority of the El Paso (HACEP) dba HOME, low-income housing property located at 201 Cortez Dr., El Paso, TX 79905, built in 1991, is a 9-story high rise building consisting of 123 units. An approximately 1-acre parcel to the west of the property will be reserved for development at a later date. The former Pooley complex is vacant at this time. The HACEP - Cortez Plaza aka Cielo Tower will undergo substantial rehabilitation to the property completing interior demolition to the studs. The 9-story high rise building consisting of 123 units will be a full, gut renovation of the property to include asbestos and lead-based paint abatement, replacement of mechanical, electrical and plumbing, updated interiors, new appliances, cabinets, flooring, windows, updated amenities, landscaping and updated facade.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Pooley Apartments is a former vacant senior public housing high-rise building which will be substantially rehabilitated to preserve the affordability. The Part 58 Review will allow the developer/owner, which is HOME, the ability to increase the affordability by providing deeply subsidized units utilizing operating subsidy, both Section 9 (Public Housing), Section 8, and public housing capital funds, to assist low-income El Paso senior residents. The building is a seven-story structure with three small sheds for storage of maintenance equipment and one is used as the mechanical room for the boiler. There is an asphalt parking lot and landscaping with grass and trees to the east and west of the building.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Pooley Apartments (a.k.a. Cielo Tower) is currently vacant and in need of substantial rehabilitation. The building is a seven-story structure with two small sheds for storage of maintenance equipment and one which is used as the mechanical room for the boiler. There is an asphalt parking lot and landscaping with grass and trees to the east and west of the building. The project, located in Central El Paso, at 201 Cortez Drive, El Paso, TX 79905, is across the street from the former Housing Authority of the City of El Paso headquarters building, and is near grocery (Food City) and general merchandise shopping located in the Fox Plaza Shopping Center and Mercado. The El Paso Children's Hospital, Texas Tech University Medical Center, the El Paso Health Department and other commercial businesses, health facilities and municipal agencies located in the area. These business and public agencies will continue to exist with or without the project. In the 25.11 mile Primary Market Area (PMA) are 2,501 stabilized residential developments which provide housing for families and seniors with incomes at or below 60% of AMI. Since 2016, 1,823 units have been rehabilitated or newly constructed to serve low-income families. In 2021 the number of units for the general population is 98,184; in 2023 the number is projected to be 96,430; and in five years the number of units will be 93,799. The number of units to serve seniors in 2021 is 33,007; in 2023 it will be 33,062 and in 5 years it is anticipated there will be 33,140 units to serve the senior population in this PMA. Senior housing in this location is ideal for senior citizens as it is within walking distance to shopping; on a major bus route and near medical facilities and government services (El Paso Department of Health).

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

EPCAD 201 Cortez Dr 79905.pdf

Google Maps 201 Cortez 79905.pdf

FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST Cortez.pdf

Phase I Site Photos.pdf

Phase I Historical Topographic Maps.pdf

Phase I Historical Aerial Photos.pdf

7 201 Cortez right side building.pdf

6 201 Cortez right side backyard.pdf

5 201 Cortez left side building.pdf

4 201 Cortez left side backyard.pdf

3 Cortez Southside street view.pdf

2 Cortez Northside street view.pdf

1 201 Cortez Dr front.pdf

Determination:

√	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
N/A	Public Housing	Other Public Housing Program
N/A	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$6,778,318.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$22,571,219.00 (5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)	
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE	DERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6	
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.	
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.	
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. See Attachment 3: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0040B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.	
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE	DERS, AND REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5	
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the	

		Class Air Act. The presidet is in
		Clean Air Act. The project is in
	<u> </u>	compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	Li Yes M No	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Photos and Field Contamination Checklist attached at Inspector Information section of ERR. See Attachment 6 NEPAssist resource materials used for Toxics / Contaminates within 1 mile of project location. Hazardous Waste, 19 sites: US Postal Services and Chevron USA ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated": Medical Compliance Services, Meribah, Family Dollar #2781, The Pep Boys, Body Pros Collision Center, Del Camino Cleaners, University Medical Center J+J Body + Paint, Texas Tech, El Paso Press Box, GTE Lighting, 5169 El Paso Facility, Family Dollar #5339, Texas Tech Health, Wells Lamont Corp., Cooper Industries and El Paso Disposal EPA Reports attached with "No Record Data Available:. Water Dischargers, 10 sites: South Central Drainage, Josefina Tinajero MD and El Paso Disposal Office ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated"; Colfax + Euclid Project and Modern Iron Works ECHO Reports attached with "Terminated Permit"; Jefferson High School, Texas

		Tech Health, TTUHSC Building, AMC Bus
		and Los Angeles Limo Express EPA Facility Reports attached. Brownfields, 3
		sites: 5115 El Paso Dr., 5169 El Paso Dr.,
		and Health Department Property Profile
		Reports attached. Toxic Releases: Swift
		Eckrich ECHO Report attached with "No
		data records returned". No significant
		non-compliance issues and will not
		impact nor conflict with project scope of
		work.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		species due to the nature of the
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		activities involved in the project. This
402		project is in compliance with the
		Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project includes no activities that would
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		requirements.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
		the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The
		proposed project will be limited to
		repairs and rehabilitation and will not convert any undeveloped land.
		Therefore, complies with the Farmlands
		Protection Policy Act. See Attachment 9.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in a
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The project is in compliance
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		with Executive Order 11988. See
		Attachment 10: FIRM 480214, PANEL
		0040B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation there
National Historic Preservation Act of		are No Historic Properties Affected
1966, particularly sections 106 and		because there are no historic properties
110; 36 CFR Part 800		present. The project is in compliance
		with Section 106. See Attachment 11
		and response from SHPO/THC
		properties not eligible for listing or No
1	1	Historic Properties present or affected.

Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes	☑ No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. The		
Noise Control Act of 1972, as			noise level was acceptable: 61.0 db. See		
amended by the Quiet Communities			noise analysis. The project is in		
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart			compliance with HUD's Noise		
В			regulation. See Attachment 12A, 12B		
			and 12C NEPAssist resource materials,		
			US Railroad Inventory Crossings and US		
			TXDOT Future Truck Traffic. Railroad		
			approximated 2,659 ft., E. Paisano Dr.,		
			564 ft., Blanco Ave. 420 ft. and Cortez		
			Dr. 133 ft. from project location. HUD		
			DNL Calculator: 61dnl, well below the		
			acceptable level of 65dnl. No significant		
			non-compliance issues and will not		
			impact nor conflict with project scope of		
			work.		
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes	☑ No	Based on the project description, the		
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as			project consists of activities that are		
amended, particularly section			unlikely to have an adverse impact on		
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149			groundwater resources. The project is in		
			compliance with Sole Source Aquifer		
			requirements. The City of El Paso does		
			not contain any EPA-designated sole		
Marile de Bratanille			source aquifers. See Attachment 13.		
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes	☑ NO	Based on the project description this		
Executive Order 11990, particularly			project includes no activities that would		
sections 2 and 5			require further evaluation under this		
			section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. No new		
			construction and/or ground		
			disturbance. Compliance with EO11990,		
			Wetlands Protection Act. See		
			Attachment 14.		
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes	√ No	This project is not within proximity of a		
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		— 110	NWSRS river. The project is in		
particularly section 7(b) and (c)			compliance with the Wild and Scenic		
particularly coolier r (c) and (c)			Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no		
			designated wild and scenic rivers on the		
			National Rivers Inventory. See		
			Attachment 15.		
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS					
	ENVIRO	ONMENTAL J	USTICE		
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes	☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were		
Executive Order 12898			identified in the project's total		

environmental review. The project is in
compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Environmental Impact Impact Evaluation Mitigatio					
Assessment Factor Code		•				
LAND DEVELOPMENT						
Conformance with Plans /	1	Cielo Tower is a complete gut rehab on				
Compatible Land Use and		approximately 1 acre of land in central				
Zoning / Scale and Urban		El Paso. The re-development will				
Design		consists of a 9-story high-rise building,				
		123 units with substantial rehab by				
		competing interior demolition to the				
		studs.				
Soil Suitability / Slope/	2	SSURGO classifies the top soil				
Erosion / Drainage and		components as a type known as Gila.				
Storm Water Runoff		The texture is described as a fine				
		sandy loam. Soils are well drained with				
		moderate infiltration rates with				
		moderately coarse textures. According				
		to the EDR report, depth to bedrock is				
		greater than 0 inches.				
Hazards and Nuisances	2	Airport Noise Contour is outside of the				
including Site Safety and		property site. Railroad is approximately				
Site-Generated Noise		2,659 ft. from property site. Major				
		Roadways: E. Paisano Dr. is 564 ft.,				
		Blanco Ave. is 420 ft. and Cortez Dr. is				
		133 ft. from property site. Attached				
		HUD DNL Calculator is at 61dnl, below				
		the acceptable standard of 65db.				
Energy	1	Implementation of energy				
Consumption/Energy		conservation materials in the				
Efficiency		construction of multi-family housing.				
	S	OCIOECONOMIC				

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation			
Assessment Factor	Code	·				
LAND DEVELOPMENT						
Employment and Income	2	This re-development is public housing				
Patterns		for very-low to low income				
		households. The City which has				
		experienced significant growth in the				
		past 5 years bringing additional				
		recreational, residential, retail and				
		commercial activity and services.				
Demographic Character	2	The property is zoned C-3				
Changes / Displacement						
CC	DMMUNIT	Y FACILITIES AND SERVICES				
Educational and Cultural	2	Educational and Cultural Facilities have				
Facilities (Access and		been identified in the vicinity of the				
Capacity)		proposed project site.				
Commercial Facilities	2	Commercial Facilities are located in the				
(Access and Proximity)		vicinity of the proposed project site.				
Health Care / Social	2	Health care is located in the vicinity of				
Services (Access and		the proposed project site.				
Capacity)						
Solid Waste Disposal and	2	The City of El Paso Environmental				
Recycling (Feasibility and		Services department provides				
Capacity)		commercial garbage, recycling				
		collection and disposal services for the				
		project site.				
Waste Water and Sanitary	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides				
Sewers (Feasibility and		services for project site.				
Capacity)						
Water Supply (Feasibility	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides				
and Capacity)		services for project site.				
Public Safety - Police, Fire	2	Police, fire and medical services are				
and Emergency Medical		available within proximity to property				
		site.				
Parks, Open Space and	2	Parks and Recreation Center are				
Recreation (Access and		available within close proximity to				
Capacity)	2	property site.				
Transportation and	2	Ridership is imperative to public				
Accessibility (Access and		transportation's success. The				
Capacity)		transportation corridor is a benefit to				
		El Paso's future residents because they				
		rely heavily on public transportation to				
	<u> </u>	commute to jobs.				
NATURAL FEATURES						

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
	LAN	ND DEVELOPMENT	
Unique Natural Features	2	N/A	
/Water Resources			
Vegetation / Wildlife	1	N/A	
(Introduction,			
Modification, Removal,			
Disruption, etc.)			
Other Factors			

Supporting documentation

Phase I Site Photos(1).pdf

Phase I Historical Topographic Maps(1).pdf

Pooley Apartments Lead Based Paint Inspection(1).pdf

Pooley Apartments Asbestos Inspection(1).pdf

Phase I Historical Aerial Photos(1).pdf

Phase I ESA Report 201 Cortez Pooley Report.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

JoAnn Vera 8/4/2021 12:00:00 AM

FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST Cortez.pdf

Phase I Site Photos.pdf

Phase I Historical Topographic Maps.pdf

Phase I Historical Aerial Photos.pdf

7 201 Cortez right side building.pdf

6 201 Cortez right side backyard.pdf

5 201 Cortez left side building.pdf

4 201 Cortez left side backyard.pdf

3 Cortez Southside street view.pdf

2 Cortez Northside street view.pdf

1 201 Cortez Dr front.pdf

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Texas Historical Commission FEMA Fish + Wildlife website NEPAssist Resource website

List of Permits Obtained:

Lead-Based Paint Report and Asbestos Report.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Neighborhood Associations: Val Verde Neighborhood Association, Washington - Delta Neighborhood Association and San Juan Neighborhood Improvement Association. FONSI published in the El Paso Times September 28, 2021.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The property is a former public housing, 9-story high-rise building consisting of 123 units. An approximately 1 acre parcel to the west of the property will be reserved for development at a later date. Cortez' Cielo Tower will be substantially rehabilitated to preserve affordability it the central of the City of El Paso. The full gut renovation of the property will include asbestos and lea-based paint abatement, replacement of mechanical, electrical and plumbing, updated interiors, new appliances, cabinets, flooring, windows, updated amenities, landscaping and an updated facade. The Housing Authority of El Paso dba HOME understands that the units are in a state of disrepair and a blight to the neighborhood, without redevelopment, the property will continue to deteriorate.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

The subject property is currently in a state of disrepair and is becoming a blight to the neighborhood. Without redevelopment, the property will continue to deteriorate. The existing buildings will be a complete gut rehab.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

There are no action alternatives. The property consists of approximately 1 acre and will consist of 123 apartment units.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The primary objectives of the proposed project is to provide low-income housing and revitalize a deteriorating area of central El Paso.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Mitigation Plan	Complete
or Factor		Completed		
		Measures		

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 1 Airport Cortez HACEP.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

√ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 2 Coastal Barrier.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Attachment 3 Flood 201 Cortez 79905.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. See Attachment 3: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0040B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 4 Air Quality Ozone.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
 ASTM Phase II ESA
 Remediation or clean-up plan
 ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
 None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
 - ✓ No.

Explain:

Upon review, there were no toxic and/or hazardous substance found nearby property site. See Site Specific Field Contamination Checklist.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project

occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Photos and Field Contamination Checklist attached at Inspector Information section of ERR. See Attachment 6 NEPAssist resource materials used for Toxics / Contaminates within 1 mile of project location. Hazardous Waste, 19 sites: US Postal Services and Chevron USA ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated": Medical Compliance Services, Meribah, Family Dollar #2781, The Pep Boys, Body Pros Collision Center, Del Camino Cleaners, University Medical Center J+J Body + Paint, Texas Tech, El Paso Press Box, GTE Lighting, 5169 El Paso Facility, Family Dollar #5339, Texas Tech Health, Wells Lamont Corp., Cooper Industries and El Paso Disposal EPA Reports attached with "No Record Data Available:. Water Dischargers, 10 sites: South Central Drainage, Josefina Tinajero MD and El Paso Disposal Office ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated"; Colfax + Euclid Project and Modern Iron Works ECHO Reports attached with "Terminated Permit"; Jefferson High School, Texas Tech Health, TTUHSC Building, AMC Bus and Los Angeles Limo Express EPA Facility Reports attached. Brownfields, 3 sites: 5115 El Paso Dr., 5169 El Paso Dr., and Health Department Property Profile Reports attached. Toxic Releases: Swift Eckrich ECHO Report attached with "No data records returned". No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.

Supporting documentation

Pooley Apartments Lead Based Paint Inspection.pdf

Pooley Apartments Asbestos Inspection.pdf

Phase I ESA Report 201 Cortez Dr Pooley Report.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA UMC.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Texas Tech(1).pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Texas Tech Health.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Pep Boys.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Meribah.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Medical Compliance Services.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA J+J Body + Paint.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA GTE Lighting.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Family Dollar 2781.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA El Paso Press Box.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA El Paso Disposal.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Cooper Industries.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA Body Pros Collision Center.pdf

RCRAINFO Search EPA 5169 El Paso Facility.pdf

Property Profile Health Dept.pdf

Property Profile 5169 El Paso Dr.pdf

Property Profile 5115 El Paso Dr.pdf

ICIS Search EPA TTUMSC Building.pdf

ICIS Search EPA Texas Tech Health.pdf

ICIS Search EPA Los Angeles Limo Express.pdf

ICIS Search EPA Jefferson High School.pdf

ICIS Search EPA AMC Bus.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO US Postal Service.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO Swift Eckrich.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO South Central Drainage.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO Modern Iron Works.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO Josefina Tinagero MD.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO El Paso Disposal.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO Colfax + Euclid Project.pdf

Detailed Facility Report ECHO Chevron USA.pdf

Attachment 6 Toxics Cortez HACEP.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Vec

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The proposed project will be limited to repairs and rehabilitation and will not convert any undeveloped land. Therefore, complies with the Farmlands Protection Policy Act. See Attachment 9.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Attachment 3 Flood 201 Cortez 79905.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. See Attachment 10: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0040B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 10 Floodplain 201 Cortez 79905.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx 10/36cfr800 10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) In progress
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
 - ✓ Apache Tribe of Oklahoma In progress✓ Comanche Nation of Oklahoma In progress

Cortez-Dr-79905

✓ Fort Sill Apache Tribe
 ✓ Mescalero Apache Tribe of New
 Mexico
 ✓ Tonkawa Tribe of Oklahoma
 ✓ White Mountain Apache Tribe
 ✓ Wichita and Affiliated Tribes
 ✓ Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Written request for consultation to SHPO/THC with corresponding documentation maps, pictures, scope of work and cost estimate sent via eTRAC, THC electronic tracking system.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the

project?

Yes

√ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See Attachment 11 and response from SHPO/THC properties not eligible for listing or No Historic Properties present or affected.

Supporting documentation

THPO Consultation Letter White Mountain.pdf

RE HUD PBV Project HACEP Cielo Tower YDS.msg Attachment 11 Historic Cortez HACEP.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

✓ Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

NOTE: For major or substantial rehabilitation in Normally Unacceptable zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. For major rehabilitation in Unacceptable zones, HUD strongly encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details.

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

- ✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.
- 5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the
- ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 61

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 61

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 61.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See Attachment 12A, 12B and 12C NEPAssist resource materials, US Railroad Inventory

Crossings and US TXDOT Future Truck Traffic. Railroad approximated 2,659 ft., E. Paisano Dr., 564 ft., Blanco Ave. 420 ft. and Cortez Dr. 133 ft. from project location. HUD DNL Calculator: 61dnl, well below the acceptable level of 65dnl. No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.

Supporting documentation

DNL Calculator HUD 201 Cortez.pdf

USDOT Crossing Inventory Chelsea St.pdf

TXDOT E Paisano Dr.pdf

TXDOT Cortez Dr.pdf

TXDOT Blanco Ave.pdf

Attachment 12C Roadway Cortez(2).pdf

Attachment 12C Roadway Cortez to Paisano.pdf

Attachment 12C Roadway Cortez to Blanco.pdf

Attachment 12B Railroad Cortez HACEP.pdf

Attachment 12A Airport Cortez HACEP.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City of El Paso does not contain any EPA-designated sole source aquifers. See Attachment 13.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

 Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. No new construction and/or ground disturbance. Compliance with EO11990, Wetlands Protection Act. See Attachment 14.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 14 Wetlands Cortez HACEP.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no designated wild and scenic rivers on the National Rivers Inventory. See Attachment 15.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes