

**Veterans PSH NOFA
Application Scorecard**

Applicant/Program Name: _____

Reviewer Name: _____

| | Refer to this question on the application, narrative and/or budget | TOTAL | SCORE |
|---|--|-------------|------------|
| 1) Outcomes | | 30.0 | 0.0 |
| 1a. The outcomes are measurable, logical, reasonable and sustainable. | #8-15 | 5 pts | |
| 1b. The outcomes align with required deliverables in the NOFA. | #2 #4 #5 #6 + #8-15 | 5 pts | |
| 1c. The outcome statement, as well as projected outcomes, align with the problem as stated in the NOFA. | #1 #2 #8-15 | 10 pts | |
| 1d. The services provided support the stated outcomes. | #1-6 #8-15 | 10 pts | |
| 2) Project Concept | | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| 2a. Applicant provides a compelling explanation of how this program will maximize benefits to those in the community who are most vulnerable. | #1-6 #14-15 | 5 pts | |
| 2b. Applicant demonstrates a quality process to ensure that access to benefits for individuals and families is streamlined and easily accessible. | #1-6 #16-19 | 10 pts | |
| 2c. The proposed program is a quality program and demonstrates best practices for its service delivery approach and methods. (What best practice, from where and how the applicant intends to replicate to the benefit of the El Paso community?) | #3 #16 | 10 pts | |
| 3) Past Performance and Agency Capacity | | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| 3a. The applicant demonstrates a history of successful performance under DCHD and/or other federal and state funding. | #7 | 5 pts | |
| 3b. The applicant demonstrates the capacity to provide the assistance described in their application? | Review application question (Agency Capacity Section) | 5 pts | |
| 4) Budget | | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| 4a. The budget is cost-effective, and the listed budget items are reasonable and allowable for the services being provided. | Refer to Budget + #22-24 | 2 pts | |
| 4b. The HOME and CDBG share of costs is appropriate for the services to be delivered and the applicant demonstrates a strong fiscal sustainability plan inclusive of, but not limited to, sources listed in the NOFA. | Refer to Budget + #22-24 | 5 pts | |
| 4c. The calculations in the budget are clear and understandable. | Refer to Budget | 2 pts | |
| 4d. The applicant demonstrates significant leverage from other available resources. | Refer to Budget + application (Budget Summary Section) + #24 | 3 pts | |
| 4e. The applicant plans to collaborate and partner with community organizations and other funding entities to pursue significant funding outside of HOME and CDBG. | #17-18 | 3 pts | |
| 5) Technical Merit | | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| 5a. The application is easy to follow and understand. | | 2 pts | |
| 5b. Grammatical errors, misspelled words, sentence structure, and/or mathematical errors DID NOT cause a distraction or make it difficult to review the application. | | 1 pts | |
| 5c. The applicant followed instructions | | 2 pts | |
| 6) Project Evaluation | | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| 6a. The evaluation plan illustrates achievement of project outcomes and key deliverables aligned with the NOFA. | #20-21 | 5 pts | |
| 6b. The applicant has a comprehensive plan, and demonstrates capacity to measure, collect and report performance data in alignment with projected outcomes. | #20-21 | 5 pts | |
| 7) Overall Impression | | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| 7a. According to your experience, expertise, and knowledge, rate how well this project will address challenges faced by the population to be served. | | 0-5 | |